I. DOCUMENT TO BE JUDICIALLY NOTICED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b), defendant eBay Inc. ("eBay") hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following document in connection with eBay's Motion to Dismiss:

Agreement between eBay Inc. and Windsor Auctions, dated April 26, 2005 ("Agreement"), referred to in paragraphs 10 and 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, a true and correct copy of which will be lodged with the Court under seal.¹

II. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

This Court may consider facts and documents subject to judicial notice in connection with a party's motion to dismiss. *See, e.g., MGIC Indem. Corp. v. Weisman,* 803 F.2d 500, 504 (9th Cir. 1986) (on a motion to dismiss, court may take judicial notice of matters of public record outside the pleadings). Judicial notice is appropriate for facts "not subject to reasonable dispute" that are "capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). Under Federal Rule Evidence 201(d), "[a] court shall take judicial notice if requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information." Fed. R. Evid. 201(d). "[D]ocuments whose contents are alleged in a complaint and whose authenticity no party questions, but which are not physically attached to the pleading, may be considered in ruling on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss." *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449, 454 (9th Cir. 1994), *overruled on other grounds by Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara*, 307 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2002).

Judicial notice of the Agreement between eBay Inc. and Windsor Auctions, dated April 26, 2005, is appropriate because the agreement is referenced in paragraphs 10 and 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint. Although it was not physically attached to the pleading, its authenticity is

²⁴

²⁵²⁶

²⁷

	Case 5:	07-cv-06454-RMW	Document 11	Filed 02/12/2008	Page 3 of 3	
1	not au	estioned by any party	Thus it is appro	opriate for considerati	on on a ruling on a R	ule
2	not questioned by any party. Thus, it is appropriate for consideration on a ruling on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. <i>Branch</i> , 14 F.3d at 454.					
3	III. Conclusion					
4			av respectfully red	nuests that the Court	grant its request and ta	ıke
5	judicial notice of the Agreement described herein.					
6	Dated: February 12, 2008 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP					
7	Butta.	1 001441 12, 2000	MI HE	CHAEL G. RHODES EATHER C. MESERV	(116127) Y (223782)	
8			WI	HITTY SOMVICHIAN	N (194463)	
9			/T:			
10			Не	leather C. Meservy ather C. Meservy	-D I	
11			En	corneys for Defendant on ail: hmeservy@cooley	zeay, inc. z.com	
12	575319/SI	.				
13	3/3319/31	,				
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28			2	pD avic De	FOUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTIC	E.
			′)	REAVER	BARLES I BURK HILLION TALL WITH	41.